

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, Koraput: 07

Name of the State: Odisha District- Koraput, Election: S.G.E. 2024

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	RAJESH MANDINGI AT: CHINTAGUDA, PO: KUMBHARI PS- NARAYANPATNA,, District-KORAPUT, Pin-764028
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India (M-L) (Liberation)
3.	No. and name of Assembly Constituency	141- LAXMIPUR (ST) AC
4.	Name of the elected candidate	PABITRA SAUNTA (INC)
5.	Date of declaration of result	04-06-2024
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	NO
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	N/A
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	NO
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NOT LODGED
12.	Whether account lodged in time	NO
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NO
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement			NA		
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			Account Not Lodged		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			NA		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate			NA		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted			NA		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election			NA		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account			NA		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect			NA		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			NA		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:			Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL				NA	NA	NA
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period			YES		

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Account not lodged by the candidate within the last date prescribed  Signature of District Election Officer KORAPUT

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure	<i>Account details not lodged within 30 days</i>
Date:	<i>09.07.2024</i> Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.