

	ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001
Candidate Scrutiny Report Date Of Submit Scrutiny Form: 05.07.2024	

Name of the State: Odisha


Election: General PC

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate:	SANTOSH KUMAR BIDIKA AT-PITAMAHAL, POST AND PS- HATASESKHAL, DIST- RAYAGADA
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Republican Party of India (A)- RPI(A)
3.	No. and name of Parliamentary Constituency	21-Koraput
4.	Name of the elected candidate	SAPTAGIRI SANKAR ULAKA
5.	Date of declaration of result	2024-06-04
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	30-06-2024
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
		N/A
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
		N/A
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	04-07-2024
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	30-06-2024
	(ii) Revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N/A
		N/A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.17830/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of the Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N/A
		N/A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by the candidate	N/A
		N/A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/A
		N/A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened by for election	N/A
		N/A
(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/A	
	N/A	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence If No then mention the following:	Yes

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	To be Filled up by the DEO
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of the Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	
	(i) Were the defects notice by the DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention date of notice	N/A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with the English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
22.	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Reports of DEO)	Yes N/A
	Date: 05/07/24	 Signature District Election Officer (Name of the DEO) KORAPUT
23.	<u>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-</u> No Comments Date: 5-7-2024	 Signatutre of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

If the CEO feels like given additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Fund Given By Political Party	
By Cash	N/A
First Cheque	N/A
Second Cheque	N/A
Third Cheque	N/A
In Kind	N/A
Lump Sum Amount Given by Political Party	Rs.0.00/-

Name	Mode of Payment	Amount
Lump Sum Amount Given By Other Sources		Rs.0.00/-

RO Comment's : N/A

Lump Sum Grand Total:Rs.0.00/-

Dated:05-07-2024

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi- 110001